

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/897,904

Applicant(s)

FUKUI, TATSUO

Examiner

Gordon J Stock

Art Unit

2877

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gordon J Stock.

(3) Adam Neff.

(2) Layla Lauchman.

(4) Tarik Nabi.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanaka reference (4,668,077).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applied prior art was discussed concerning prior action rejection and subsequent amendment; it appears that the amendment filed on 4/30/03 overcomes the prior action rejection (specifically in view of Tanaka); a new search will be performed. it has been decided by the participants that it is not necessary for the applicant to file a statement of the substance of this interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required